

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/319,384	06/04/1999	TAKASHI ECHIGO	Q54629	2943
7	590 08/27/2002			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER	
			PRATS, FRANCISCO CHANDLER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	ication No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/319,384	ECHIGO ET AL.	
navisory nation	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Francisco C Prats	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a second this application in a second ment whith a second ment with a second ment with a second ment and a second ment with a second ment and a second ment a s	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models are provided by the control of the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	see MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 August 2002</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			orth in
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	· //·	от ше арроан	
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,,,	•
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
NOTE: see attachment.			• `
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: se		sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme		-	
 10.			
<u> </u>			

Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651 Application/Control Number: 09/319,384

Art Unit: 1651

ATTACHMENT TO ADVISORY ACTION

The after-final amendment filed January 11, 2001, has been received, but will not be entered because it raises new issues for search and consideration. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior office action.

The after-final amendment filed January 11, 2001, raises new issues for search and consideration because the proposed new embodiment has not been searched and/or considered previously. It is noted that claim 1 now recites two limitations, (1) enzyme pH optimum of at least 7.5 and (2) lignosulfonate/lignosulfonic acid substrate, which were originally presented as separate embodiments of the claimed invention. However, until now, applicant never presented these two limitations in a single embodiment. Thus, this new embodiment requires new search and consideration, particularly with respect to issues such as motivation for practicing together the two newly joined limitations. Non-entry at this stage of is clearly proper.

All of applicant's argument regarding the pending grounds of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive of error. It is noted that if the after-final amendment were enterable, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, could be withdrawn. It is also noted that if the

Application/Control Number: 09/319,384

Art Unit: 1651

after-final amendment were enterable the § 102(b) rejections over Schneider, Yde, Isao (JP '377) and Miyakoshi (JP '591) could be withdrawn since none of those references explicitly discloses the use of lignosulfonate/lignosulfonic acid as the substrate.

However, Haars discloses not only the claimed lignosulfonate substrate, but also the same microorganism sources as applicant for the enzyme. Compare Haars at column 2, lines 27-37, to applicant's specification at pages 19-22. Thus, based on applicant's own disclosure of microorganisms which produce enzymes suitable for practicing the claimed invention, Haars appears to inherently disclose an enzyme having the claimed pH optimum, directly contrary to applicant's argument in this regard.

Lastly, and perhaps more importantly, applicant's argument regarding the § 103(a) rejection is not directly relevant, because the original rationale for that rejection would have to be reassessed in view of applicant's joining of two limitations which never appeared together during prosecution until now. That is, the proposed after-final amendment improperly results in the recitation of an embodiment whose limitations together have not searched or considered previously. Thus, the obviousness of the proposed new claims must be reassessed with

Application/Control Number: 09/319,384

Art Unit: 1651

respect to the prior art. However, to the extent that applicant argues the incorrectness of the original rationale of a reasonable expectation of preparing peroxide $in \ situ$ as recited in claim 16 by virtue of the presence of peroxidase in the composition, it is again pointed out that such processes are conventional in the art. See, e.g., Haars at column 3, lines 22-23, disclosing that " H_2O_2 also functions as an acceptor with peroxidase."

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Francisco C Prats whose telephone number is 703-308-3665. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Francisco C Prats Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

FCP August 23, 2002